
Subject: City of Prince George Community Plan Bylaw No. 8383, 2011, Amendment Bylaw No. 9156, 2020; and City of Prince George Zoning Bylaw No. 7850, 2007, Amendment Bylaw No. 9157, 2020

From: Joseph Balfour Redacted
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2021 9:10 AM
To: cityclerk <cityclerk@princegeorge.ca>
Subject: City of Prince George Community Plan Bylaw No. 8383, 2011, Amendment Bylaw No. 9156, 2020; and City of Prince George Zoning Bylaw No. 7850, 2007, Amendment Bylaw No. 9157, 2020

Hello Council,

The proposed increase in density to this residential area is completely unnecessary and unsupported by the surrounding infrastructure. You have approved multi-unit dwellings around both the Save-On and Walmart, and with this, adding another likely 500-1000 people into this area, with no increase in the amount of services such as parks, trails, pools, community centres, or school capacity. The proliferation of increased density will set a precedent, allowing you to use that to ever increase it in this area, based on that precedent. If we wanted to live in a high density area, we wouldn't be in Prince George, let alone College Heights. I am not sure why it seems council is so far away from its constituents on so many issues; most of us have no interest in turning this city into Vancouver, or any other high-density urban location. Please go back to failing miserably to 'revitalize' the downtown core and turn your focus away from increasing density in outlying neighbourhoods.

Joseph Balfour

As an aside, why is there such focus on the downtown core when the vast majority of your tax base does not work or reside there?