From: cityclerk

Subject: FW: Ginters Green

From: Pamela Olsen Redacted

Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2025 7:26 AM To: cityclerk <cityclerk@princegeorge.ca>

Subject: Ginters Green

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

The following is a letter from the organizers behind Ginter's Green Forever.

We are really happy that the roads through Ginter's Green have been removed from the OCP, including the Foothills extension to 22nd. Beyond that we are unsure exactly how Ginter's Green is protected in the OCP. We are concerned about the following:

- The Future Land Use Schedule keeps the grey right-of-way from Massey to Ferry, rather than designating it as a park. We request that this area be officially designated in the Future Land Use Schedule as a park and have a plan to rezone all properties necessary as P1.
- Concerning properties 015 070 531 and 015 069 815 (the subject properties that are for sale and that started Ginter's Green Forever 2.0); these must be removed from the Urban Containment Boundary. It is not an appropriate place for urban sprawl and even though it's private land, it is part of what people consider Ginter's Green. The area consists of steep slopes and is characterized by excessive seepage and runoff most of the year. It represents substantial risk for development but offers substantial value for climate change mitigation, erosion protection and urban forest values. The risks associated with the development of such properties is significant. We point to situations in other BC communities where such development on inappropriate lands have led to houses literally falling off sudden cliffs and deaths as residents died when their properties slid. Indeed we note Ginter's Green was established when the house on the upper level was actually swept off its foundations. This opens the municipality to legal risk as well as decisions by individuals to view the community with disapprobation. Imagine standing at the Ginter's Mansion or at the end of Ferry and standing at the edge of developments - the visual quality of Ginter's Green would be substantially diminished if there is development above the old house site. If these properties stay in the Urban Containment Boundary Ginter's Green will always be at risk for development. The properties are Rural Resource in the Future Land Use Schedule, so it seems like an easy ask to have them removed from the Urban Containment Boundary.
- To ensure a long term vision for the area, the current Mayor and Council Members need to make a commitment. The people who love Ginter's are always asking us, haven't we protected it yet? With the roads gone, the right-of-way rezoned, and these properties removed from the Urban Containment Boundary, I think we could confidently say "YES". That would be a great legacy you can leave for the future of Prince George.

Our group has heard a lot of concern about the general lack of respect for urban forests in the OCP, including the north end of Moore's Meadow and the yellow area in the Growth Management Schedule on the escarpment and off Tyner Boulevard. The OCP is full of goals to make PG walkable and grow in a way that protects green space. Yet, when you look at the policies, the main policy to implement this is the

Urban Containment Boundary, but it contains a significant amount of forest, especially on the escarpment. Urban forests offer the most value if they remain undeveloped. Because of this, Ginter's Green Forever is also requesting that the entire yellow part of the Urban Containment Boundary on the escarpment and Tyner Boulevard be removed. Please also remove the north end of Moore's Meadow from the Urban Containment Boundary.

Ginter's Green Forever is often asked if we are "anti-development" or we are asked where we would want development to happen? Our city has more than enough sites that could be used for true infill (i.e., brownfield development). So between brownfield development and a robust policy including mixed use development, we should be more than able to meet our housing needs. There should be mixed use opportunities in every neighbourhood and for every development, not only downtown. That's how you create a walkable city, prevent sprawl, and lower taxes (Have you watched Suburbia is Subsidized? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7Nw6qyyrTel).

One final note on engagement. Almost three years ago our group was promised that the OCP would be the tool we could use to advocate to protect Ginter's Green and urban forests. We assumed that our group would be consulted for that purpose. We'd like to point out that we were only invited to one round table meeting. We were accused of "being over represented" when we organized to make sure folks came to public engagement sessions. There was never any real dialogue or collaboration. We bring this up, so that better consultation can be done in the future. We are also concerned that the public hearing is scheduled to be held over the school spring break. Because public consultation is so important to the OCP process, it seems like in this instance, it should be scheduled when more people are available to attend.

Sincerely,

Ginter's Green Forever

Pamela Campbell 128 Thacker Crescent Prince George BC V2M 6G3

Get Outlook for iOS