

RECEIVED

October 11th, 2024

OCT 25 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbara Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

Ryan Kalsbeek

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbara Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbara Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

REDACTED

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

Christine Lucas
REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.
² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024 October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

Naisha Curry

Mental Health & Addictions worker

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampson's explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

The

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

Ian Petrie

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbara Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

City of Prince George

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbara Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

Myriah Keays

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbara Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

Amendment to Voluntary care
Needed

Michelle Miller

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

City of Prince George

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampson's explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampson's explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

GORDON LUCAS

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

²Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

October 11th, 2024

OCT 25 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

DR. MISA NIKOLIC

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampson's explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

City of Prince George

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbara Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

October 11th, 2024

OCT 25 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,
Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.


I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED



Zechariah James Young

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

Ian Chafe

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

REDACTED

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AK. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

Carter Mackenzie

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024 October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.


Thank you

Grace Burke

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.



To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,
Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.


I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.


Thank you

REDACTED



¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.



To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,
Please include this letter in the city council agenda.

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbra Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

signed by Joanne Patrick

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2024

October 11th, 2024

City of Prince George

To City of Prince George Mayor and Council,

Please include this letter in the city council agenda

I am a community member of Prince George and am passionate about the mental health and drug crises in Northern BC. I am writing to you in response to two motions which are scheduled to be considered by Mayor and Council on October 21st. Northern BC has a very apparent need for the expansion of mental health services, and I appreciate the initiative taken by Councilors Trudy Klassen, Garth Frizzel, and Kyle Sampson to advocate for this issue, through engagement with local healthcare professionals like Dr Barb Kane, however, I think multiple facets of the recent motions and council discussions missed the mark in a very serious way. I would like to ask councilors to utilize caution when interpreting Dr Kane's public statements, specifically, I would like to ask for clarification and reflection on what is meant by institutionalization in the discussion surrounding Councilor Frizzel and Klassen's motion. The article which city council cites uses the term to refer to a wide range of policies from collective funding and organization of mental health institutions to dark legacies like the use of involuntary, and often violent treatment of mental health patients. I stand by the need for increased mental health facilities and resources in this city and region, but I cannot emphasize enough that I reject any employment of involuntary treatment, which I believe to be cruel, and which has been shown to be ineffective, the clarification of what is being advocated for by council is critical.

I would like to offer serious criticism of the second recommendation made in councilor Sampson's notice of motion on Psychiatric Hospital Advocacy. I respect the call for a focused push towards new facilities in Prince George, and the breakdown of what Dr Kane identifies as key reasons for opening a new psychiatric hospital. I would like to draw attention to the fact that nowhere in this list, or in any other press interactions, does Dr Barbara Kane cite the lack of involuntary treatment as a reason for the current state of mental health treatment (or the lack thereof) in Northern British Columbia. I am participating in a rally in Prince George which is vocalizing how involuntary care is a dangerous and unempathetic approach that will create more stigma, fear, and criminalization for an already marginalized population. Involuntary treatment includes interventions such as forced medication, institutionalization and other coerced behavior which has been shown to be not only ineffective but also dangerous, increasing risk of overdose

and death by up to two fold in some cases¹. Historically involuntary centers often functioned as places of abuse against people with mental illnesses, traumatizing the recipients of care and leading to breakdowns of trust in our medical institutions for the people who need them most.

City councilor Kyle Sampsons' explicit emphasis on involuntary commitment in a healthcare environment which does not even have adequate capacity for folks pursuing voluntary treatment is an ideological projection rather than any real representation of what will alleviate the crisis or is being suggested by medical professionals and researchers. I would like to see policies developed and advocated for which focus on improving access to voluntary withdrawal management (detox), voluntary inpatient and outpatient mental health programs, primary care, treatment programs with strict regulatory oversight, harm reduction initiatives, family programming, culturally affirming programming, support services, and addressing the housing crisis. As a Prince George resident, I demand that council advocates only for compassionate and evidence-based approaches to our mental health crises, and not push for policies which have a demonstrated history of harming the most vulnerable in our communities.

Thank you

REDACTED

¹ Bazazi AR. Commentary on Rafful et al. (2018): Unpacking involuntary interventions for people who use drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1064-1065. doi: 10.1111/add.14202. PMID: 29732697; PMCID: PMC7006027.

² Rafful C, Orozco R, Rangel G, Davidson P, Werb D, Beletsky L, Strathdee SA. Increased non-fatal overdose risk associated with involuntary drug treatment in a longitudinal study with people who inject drugs. *Addiction*. 2018 Jun;113(6):1056-1063. doi: 10.1111/add.14159. Epub 2018 Feb 13. PMID: 29333664; PMCID: PMC5938130.