
From: cityclerk
Subject: Temporary Use Permit Application No. TU000086 submitted by MPI Magnolia Properties Inc.

From: Tamara [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, November 16, 2023 3:05 PM
To: cityclerk <cityclerk@princegeorge.ca>
Cc: tore.petterson [Redacted]
Subject: Temporary Use Permit Application No. TU000086 submitted by MPI Magnolia Properties Inc.

This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear City Council,

I write in opposition of Temporary Use Permit Application No. TU000086 submitted by MPI Magnolia Properties Inc. and their spokesperson Andrew Middleton in Nova Scotia. Approval of this permit would allow for short-term housing in this neighbourhood, which violates the Official Community Plan, and which would require the City, as a first step, to amend the OCP.

The applicant references Maples in Coquitlam as the proponent behind this application. Maples is an Adolescent Treatment Centre for young people between 12-17 who have "a lot of mental health concerns or troubling behaviour".

While I appreciate the efforts of facilities such as Maples, this is simply not the proper location for such a facility. Maples is located 2721 Lougheed Hwy, and immediately surrounded by other large facilities. It is not tucked into a quiet residential neighbourhood. This application seeks to put a similar facility into our quiet rural neighbourhood, and it is simply too dangerous. As a mother, I cannot help but remember troubled youth such as [Redacted] who were constantly retained in youth facilities like Maples, and who became violent and lured and assaulted young children. A facility like this should be located out near Baldy Hughes, for example, where teens can't walk to the Wal-Mart, which is 10 minutes away, hop on a bus, and disappear. The Grouse Road location is too close to Vanway Elementary School, gas stations, and a large shopping center.

In addition to several troubled teenagers, the applicant mentions that there will be at least 15-20 employees coming and going from the facility on a daily basis, presumably morning and night as their shifts start and end. This is not in line with the nature of this neighbourhood, which nature can be gleaned by the building schemes that cover many parts of the roads near Grouse. We do not enjoy paved roads or City services. And we do not WISH to enjoy mental health facilities nearby, nor the company of troublesome youth whose own parents have sent them away from home.

Personally, I live in this neighbourhood with my husband and [Redacted] children who love to play outside in the trees surrounding our property. We live here precisely because it is safe and it is located far from services and facilities such as the one the applicant seeks to create.

We are absolutely opposed to the approval of this permit. Respectfully, we ask council to refuse the permit.

Sincerely, Tamara Fennell - concerned mother.

TAMARA M. FENNELL
Barrister - Solicitor - Notary
DAN MARCOTTE LAW CORPORATION
REAL ESTATE LAWYERS
[440 Brunswick Street](#)
[Prince George, British Columbia](#)
[Canada V2L 2B6](#)

[Redacted]

This email and any attachment(s) are confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me immediately by return email, delete this email, and do no copy, use or disclose it.