

March 27,2023

City of Prince George

via email:cityclerk@princegeorge.ca

City hall – 5th Floor

1100 Patricia Boulevard

Prince George, BC V2L 3V9

Attention : Corporate Officer

Re:” City of Prince George Zoning Bylaw # 7850,2007, Amendment Bylaw 9370,2023”

Dear City Council Members,

We are writing this letter opposing to the rezoning amendment for the subject property located at 9153 Twinberry Drive.

My wife and I purchased our home at 3669 Meadow Rim way in 2010 and we were excited to be part of a growing little community of Meadow Park. The location was peaceful, quiet, serene, and surrounded by nature. At that time the plan for the neighborhood was only in Phase 1 of a 7 phased plan and potentially included another 150 homes. Then the developer of the day logged and removed trees and we were hopeful building would commence but it didn't. The property was sold a to another developer and again nothing happened. And that was okay until we received notice of the proposed rezoning of the land.

The current schedule of restrictions for this area include, but not limited to : No mobile home shall be installed or erected on any lot, No prebuilt or prefabricated home shall be installed or erected on any lot without express consent in writing from the developer, All houses are to have a minimum of a double carport and the driveway layout must provide parking for 2 additional cars parked on site. Attached enclosed garages are preferable on all lots.

In rezoning this area into a Mobile Home Park, it will have a negative effect on us personally and our neighborhood in general. Probable and potential effects include, but are not limited to, an increase in traffic and congestion as there is only one way in and out of the neighborhood. An increase in rental properties, crime and transient traffic and behaviors. A significant decrease in property values due to the size of the proposed park. A decrease in the quality of life the residents currently enjoy. Loss of our neighborhood's prestige and appeal.

We would also like to draw Councils attention to the lack of amenities the area provides. There is no public transit available nearby. No parks or other recreation nearby. There is only one grocery store on the Hart and not much for other retail stores. The school buses are at near capacity. Can the schools accommodate an influx of new students? Can the Fire Hall maintain adequate coverage with predicted and real growth occurring in the Hart? Can the RCMP maintain adequate policing of the area?

We understand the need for more affordable housing, but this area just isn't the right location due to points already listed. The only one who benefits is the developer and not the current residents who have been invested in this neighborhood for many years.

We thank you for your consideration and urge Council to reject the amendment.

Sincerely

Ryan And Diane MacLean