**From:** cityclerk

**Subject:** Land Use Contract No. LU 51-77, Bylaw No. 3095, 1977, Discharge Bylaw No. 9227,

2021

From: Brian Savage <\*REDACTED\*>
Sent: Wednesday, May 04, 2022 1:25 PM
To: cityclerk <cityclerk@princegeorge.ca>

Cc: bsav@telus.net

Subject: Land Use Contract No. LU 51-77, Bylaw No. 3095, 1977, Discharge Bylaw No. 9227, 2021

## **Regarding:**

Applicant: Bragg Construction Ltd for Elizabeth and Jonathan Lawrence

Subject Property: 6526 Monterey Road

## From:

Brian & Arlene Savage 6581 Monterey Road Prince George, BC V2K 4E1

\*REDACTED\*

\*REDACTED\*

We have reviewed the application provided by the City of Prince George as well as the copy of Land Use Contract No. LU 51-77, Bylaw 1977 provided to us when we purchased our home in 2012.

The application submitted (Discharge Bylaw No. 9227, 2021) is to remove the original Land Use Contract and all of the associated Special Conditions included in Schedule A of the Land Use Agreement.

We understand that applicants intent to construct a, Carriage House (Above a garage as outlined in CPG Bylaw No. 8896 ??? 1, a) iii) , Housing, Carriage: A secondary dwelling constructed above a detached garage with direct vehicle access).

## Our concern is related to the following:

According to City of Prince George Zoning Bylaw No. 7850. 2007 Consolidated- 9.5 AR3, AR3m Rural Residential the applicant may,

- 1. 9.5.2 Operate a Community Care Facility
- 2. 9.5.3 Operate a Bed & Breakfast, Equestrian Centre or Home Business 1,2,or 3
- 3. 9.5.7.1 Park a mobile home on the lot for a period of two years while the residence is being constructed. We assume that the CPG will not allow a mobile home to be parked on the lot while the Carriage House is being constructed.
- 4. 9.5.7.2 The applicant will be able to have, The maximum number of horses permitted with minor equestrian centre is, b) 2 on lots that are 0.4 ha or greater, but less than 1.2 ha.

The application makes no reference to the intent of the applicants regarding the end use for the Carriage House after construction is complete. Given that they are able to undertake any of the above as outlined in the AR3 zoning we are not in support of the application.

When we purchased our property in 2012 we had no interest then nor do we now of having livestock being raised across the street from our home.

The subject property meets the requirement to have two horses based on the size of the lot in question.

Unless the City of Prince George is able to place a restriction on this application (and the title of the lot) regarding livestock (horses) we will not be supporting the approval of the application.

Brian & Arlene Savage Cell \*REDACTED\*